

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,392	12/16/2003	Julia Y. Larikova	PB 01 0035	7630	
45149	7590 07/19/20		EXAMINER		
TELLABS OPERATIONS, INC.			NGUYEN, TUNG X		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1415 WEST DIEHL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NAPERVIL	NAPERVILLE, IL 60563				
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/200	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/737,392	LARIKOVA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	Tung X. Nguyen	2829		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) ☐ 6) ☒ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ Applicati 9) ☐ 10) ☒	Claim(s) 6-10,21 and 22 is/are pending in the additional state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-10,21 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-10, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al. (u.s.p 5,070,297).

As to claims 6-7, Kwon et al. disclose in Figs. 3, a testing device for testing a integrated circuit comprising: a probe (16 of figure 3) for transmitting and receiving the signal to the integrated circuit (42 of figure 3); and the probe connecting to the high-speed electrical component (46-72 of figure 3) for transmitting a high-speed electrical signal from the high-speed electrical component to the component (42 of figure 3); and identifying and evaluating a response by the component to the high-speed electrical signal (col. 1, lines 20-24, and col. 3, lines 25-35). Kwon et al. Do not disclose the device under test is a optical component. However, It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to recognize the integrated circuit including the optical component.

As to claim 8, Kwon et al. disclose in Figs. 3, the test control unit (40 of figure 3) for adjusting the high-speed electrical signal.

As to claims 9-10, Kwon et al. disclose in Figs 3, the step of evaluating the response by the component comprises determining and comparing if the component

Art Unit: 2829

responds in substantially the same manner as a golden component would respond to a substantially equivalent high-speed electrical signal (via 54 or 56 of figure 3).

As to claim 21-22, Know et al. disclose in Figs. 3, identifying or evaluating the response by the component to the adjusted high-speed electrical signal (col. 3, lines 25-35).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to pages 10-11, Applicant's Remark argued that Kwon et al do not teach the step of connecting a high-frequency probe to a golden high-speed electrical component, and transmitting a high-speed electrical signal from the golden high-speed electrical component to the device under test.

In response, the examiner show that Kwon et al teach the test probe for testing the integrated circuit, and the test probe having a probe tip (16 of figure 3) for connecting the high-frequency probe to a golden high-speed electrical component considered being a plurality of the repeaters (46, 48) for receiving and amplify of level shift these signals, then send the amplified signals via probe tips (16 of figure 3) to the integrated circuit chip (42 of figure 3). Therefore, Kwon et al do teach the step of connecting a high-frequency probe to a golden high-speed electrical component, and transmitting a high-speed electrical signal from the golden high-speed electrical component to the device under test.

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ha T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,392

Art Unit: 2829

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TN 7/02/06

JERMELE HOLLINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

AJ2829 07/06/06